

FAUG Minutes

Welcome from Donna and housekeeping

Minutes reviewed and approved with one change Colin's last name to Rauenzahn

Membership covered by Chair and passed around for changes and signing by members

Introductions and updates

Eugene brought on 5 POs now fully staffed for POs

Denise two new POs are in academy

5 interviewees with some call backs for Douglas co

Deschutes going to lose building and possibly unable to host meetings

Multnomah County loss of 9 total PO's in recent county and state budget cuts

Compact—Manette is leaving on 16th and Kristi Reames is returning

Clackamas is hiring a supervisor

DOC Jon Hansen taking over STTL beginning Sept 12th and Tracey will be doing his current position

Josephine is hiring 4 to 6 PO's

Marion has a new sheriff, new undersheriff and there are two commander positions open

STTL update

OMS is still doing the pilot and will go live Sept 1st.

Some additional features added are entry of supervisor name to approve warrants

Tracey will be doing pre live communication. There is a service request to do STTL and AIP warrants

There are changes that they wanted to bring to FAUG for approval. There is a STTL flag in the SRF. This will only allow the SRF to be sent to STTL. It was asked if that could be done for other statuses like LC or BRD to avoid having LC ones sent to the BRD.

Another change is to the Judge/PB override field to change the title to Court/PB/LV ordered. Asking if FAUG approves. Change approved.

There will be a new code added to the sanction code table of FAIL to be used when requesting to return STTL and AIP to DOC.

Option 18 Board Note in the Sanction screen will now be used by the STTL and AIP staff at DOC like the BRD does if SRF is returned or needs further action. Want to change the title to BRD/LV Note.

Andie from Douglas made a motion to change the title. Charles seconded. Vote 15 Yes 0 No. Motion approved.

Tracey showed the STTL sanction process in DOC and OMS. Currently the OMS portion is only for STTL staff. There is a request in for SRF and Warrants for STTL to be in OMS.

The manual for the changes in STTL in OMS will be available under the Help tab.

OACCD update

Jay Scroggin-Multnomah Co.—Update on the budget from the state. The state decided not to pay per person supervised as per the time study that was done recently. There is a difference of about 2 dollars per day that was funded and the total difference was about 50 million. OACCD asked for the 50 million to be paid but the decision was to not pay based on the time study and increased the payment for supervising people by 0% which is the current service level. When the funding bill was passed, many legislators said they did not like the funding and at a short session in Feb they called for Ways and Means to work on the bill and resubmit it during that session

Many counties took a double hit as the state funding was cut and the amount allocated to those counties had less people supervised so got less money. Mult, Wash and Clack were some of those counties. Another issue is the COLA is only paid in the second year of the biennium and is about 3% instead of the about 12% that the counties by contract have to pay.

Bills passed

A bill passed to not allow DA and Def attorney to enter into plea negotiations like no earned discharge and STTL. They now cannot deal those away but the judge can still order those things to not happen

Measure 11 reform—to not allow tier 2 measure 11 crimes to DOC

A bill that tells DOC to change every statute to read from AIC from inmate

Old Business

Significant contact issue from last meeting. In looking at the SC report it does not pull from the Y or N in the chrono header. At last meeting we tried to determine what a SC is. It will go to OACCD to determine what the meaning of the report for SC is. And that will determine if it needs new report or change to current one. Discussion about what a SC is and whether or not to remove the flag. The SC report does not pull any information from the Y N flag in the chrono header. Discussion that the new SC report must capture what OACCD wants but also be reflective of the work contained in the other contacts that PO's have every day. Idea made to have face to face contacts set apart as the counties contact standards and another section showing the other types of contacts to show the other work/contacts that happen for each person. To do this OACCD would have to agree that this would work and be what they want.

Alan from Klamath made a motion to remove the SC Y N flag from the chrono header. Justin from Deschutes seconded the motion. Vote 15 Y 0 No and no abstaining. Motion approved.

Alan made another motion to ask OACCD if they want to define what combinations of person and place count toward their current contact standards as listed in their biennial plan. Charles from Multnomah seconded the motion. Vote: 15 Yes 0 No and no abstaining. Motion approved.

CMIS treatment outcome report has a bug that simply looks at who is in tx today but if a person is listed in two current tx programs is showing them as being in no programs and if they were previously in LC status this bug happens.

Use of treatment module. FAUG had asked about putting evals, VIP and other non-treatment into the tx module. Jeremiah and Denise decided that the use of these significantly impact the data and its accuracy. The tx module data is used by more than just CCC but also used by local state and National partners. The idea to use the condition tracking module in CIS for these same conditions was also decided not to be used because it would influence the reports on the outcome measures that are attached to the conditions in the conditions tracking module. The other issue with using condition tracking is the conditions entered are only the special conditions and since tx is usually left as a general condition it would not be able to be made trackable. A service request has been entered to add EVAL as a treatment type. OACCD is hiring a business analyst to look at DOC business practices and suggests that county staff meet with the analyst to determine most efficient practices around this issue. Discussion about the need for evals to be entered and to maintain the history of the referral for tx and the numbers of people that don't need tx and have in essence completed that condition and requirement. Lee discovered there is a way to make conditions trackable. From caseload type 2 then F11 4 C then type 8 and go to add conditions and you can add any of the conditions and in case of GC4 could go in and complete it to show eval was done and show that it was done. For MAT if there is a provider that also does some type of group or meeting then could enter those in treatment module. The ones not able to be in tx module is if there is no type of counselling or meeting and just dispensing medication. To see them later in supervision or after that cycle has ended then you can go to the conditions screen on the W/W Court Orders screen and pull up the expired conditions because they will be there once the condition is completed. The issue is all conditions are expired when a case is closed and it is unknown if the date on previously completed conditions is changed to the date of closure of the case. The group agreed that VIP and MAT that does not contain any type of groups or counseling do not belong in the tx module.

DOC Update

Alan from Klamath makes a motion to ask OACCD how they want us to track the people on supervision that complete an A&D, MAT and VIP evaluation and are deemed to not need treatment at this time. Charles from Multnomah seconded the motion. VOTA 15 Yes 0 NO. motion approved

Sanction in other than complete report. There are still a lot that are open and in particular PEND status that are not being sent to the board. This report has every sanction as of the date of the report. Ensuring that these sanctions are sent to the board in a timely fashion and closing sanctions as they are completed is extremely important and after 120 days the board cannot do anything with those sanctions. Several on the most recent report are in that category. If sanctions are over 120 days they need to go to Malinda Boyer to be closed to CLNA if appropriate. Those sanctions in RETU status will only be able to be returned to the Board, even if they were sent there by mistake. To see the Board note that should tell you if there is more information needed, or if it was sent to the Board by mistake, use Option 18=Board Note. Those sent by mistake will need to go to Lee to complete if the offender's status is a board status, and if LC or Prob status currently then Lee can set back to pending so you can complete it. Lee is asking that FAUG reps take back to their offices and ask that these sanctions be addressed.

An issue has been reported that the grid changed GPS and EM from custodial to non-custodial and Jeremiah is taking that to CJC for discussion. This also applies to the Klamath Co stay in the lobby sanction for people that were medically not able to go to work crew or jail and are sanctioned to sit in the lobby. They are using the DAYR code. Per old documents DAYR was meant to be an intervention.

On the PSC we voted to remove the script that deletes the R code after 4 days because of cases where POs are on vacations and do not see the code if they don't return before the 4 days. The R code will no longer be removed after 4 days, but then requires the PO to remove it after the assessment is done manually. There have been lots of calls and emails about doing the assessment, but the R code is not going away. Per Lee it cannot be made to work that when the assessment is done the R will be deleted as a Review type. If R code is changed to Action type rather than review type it will go away after it is done. It was decided that the R code will be changed to an action type.

CIS tools upgrade project. The policy option package passed but is only half funded. DOC has to show progress to get the rest of the funding. An RFI will be going out to create a web like view of CIS and will result in an RFP and the user groups will be involved at that point to ensure it works for the users. It is expected to be a two-year project.

Red Tag flag removal. The programmer looked at it and it has not been used for years. She asked to have it removed. It is an old program that was regarding a dangerous offender. No offender has been tagged as such for years. It has been removed.

Withdrawing LC warrants in CIS. This puts the warrant in WTWT status which appears as if it is never completed and stays on the Supervisor Review of Warrants screen. There is a warrant service request in the SR system that will remove these from that view. If the reason to withdraw the warrant occurs while writing the warrant it should be deleted. If after the warrant has been issued you can use the system to do WTWT, but it will still not be removed from the Supervisor review screen. Withdrawing that warrant may wipe out the detail typed in the warrant as well. Lee believes that if WTWT is put in the Warrant Type field for LC it may mess up the warrants but if in Warrant Status it may not. She will continue to test. If you try to withdraw a warrant on a board case the user will get an email that this process does not work. A request to the Board to withdraw should be emailed to the Board instead. NEVER enter WTWT in the Warrant Type field on a Board warrant.

Because the user networking groups can no longer have minutes and manuals on the DOC website the request is to have OACCD host them on their website for the groups that they have chartered. The other question is how long do we need to keep minutes. This issue is going to the OACCD Exec Team. Tracey researched the requirements for retention of the minutes and believes we need to retain the minutes, agendas, decisions permanently. Lee will let Denise know and there may be the ability for them to be linked to the DOC website from OACCD's website.

It was requested that the FAUG rep manual be resent to the group. Charles says he will update and get to Lee to send out and will work on updating the CIS Users Guide.

Lee wanted to let the group know they are in process of creating location code of CONV for conditional discharge cases that expired but were later convicted and must return to formal supervision.

Overrides of risk to Low when closing to ABSC. Many county's stats showed that a sanction was done on offenders overridden to LOW when going to ABSC. Denise says many counties are changing their practice to not override the risk to LOW when going to ABSC.

Larry had sent an email to the group about the employment percent. He is on the OMS group and they are looking at having only a few choices to standardize the employment percentage levels. The group decided to change the CIS Users Guide to show a need to update the employment field at every change in employment status or level or at least every six months if no changes have occurred.

SOON Update

Is it okay to stop entering merged counts? OISC no longer enters merged counts and SOON recommends we follow that practice as well. The group decided that it is okay to not enter merged counts. SOON should follow the practice of OISC.

Admission and release needs to be done in a timely manner for victim notification. An email will be coming to FAUG on this issue.

A Question if FAUG wants to sponsor a service request to limit a PO from choosing special conditions from a current PPS case on a SRF when the AIC is coming out on LV so can only choose the STTL conditions or is this a training issue. The group decided it is a training issue. PO's need to choose LV conditions for the sanction.

Second look cases don't have any different type of conditions in the module. SOON wants to know if they can use the existing probation special conditions rather than creating a new set of special conditions, and use a code to show they are not sanctionable.. The group decided to use the probation special conditions and use a code that they are not sanctionable.

There is a new Fee system that that will be implemented in the coming year and will be able to track restitution because that information will be pulled over from Odyssey. They are hoping for going live late 2020.

Meeting locations

Feb—Marion

May—Deschutes

Aug—Lincoln—Florence as a back up

Andie had a question. A misd case with jail days that were suspended but trackable. Question is wait until expiration to show it as completed. The group decided yes.

Another question about LC early release to treatment. In one county if they get released early to tx and they violate it even after PPS date they are getting warrants based on not completing the sentence. The group said they did not believe that was legal and once he reached the PPS date from the time calculation it would be a PPS violation.

Joe says they are getting employees that are having Windows 10 and the new Word and the merge documents are not working correctly. There have to be work arounds done to make it work.