

## OACCD Meeting

### Jefferson County

May 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>

**Present:** Kurt Symons, Jefferson Co.; Holly Rico, Tillamook Co.; Aaron Hartman, Klamath Co.; Debbie Hust, Malheur Co.; Travis Miller, Union Co.; Todd McKinley, Grant Co.; Larry Bennett, DOC; Jeff Hanson, DOC; Kyle Hacker, Wallowa Co.; Malcolm McDonald, Clackamas Co.; Kevin Karvandi, Marion Co.; Patty Cress, Parole Board; Erika Preuitt, Mult. Co.; Scott Taylor, Mult. Co.; Kathryn Sofich, Mult. Co.; Heidi Steward, DOC; : Jeff Wood, Marion Co.; Dale Primmer, Umatilla Co.; Jim Meyers, Umatilla Co.; Brett Henry, Yamhill Co.; Jessica Beace, Yamhill Co.; Brian Rucker, Yamhill Co.; Ted Smietana, Yamhill Co.; Trevor Stephens, Deschutes Co.; John Watson, Jackson Co.; Jake Greer, Lake Co.; Dave Denney, Curry Co.; Eric Guyer, Jackson Co.; Marty Silbernagel, Polk Co.; Jenna Morrison, Clackamas Co.; Bob McDonald, Clackamas Co.; Chris Hoy, Clackamas Co.; Donovan Dumire, Lane Co; Mike Crim, Coos Co.; Patty Cress, DOC; Nate Gaoiran, Josephine Co.; Ross Caldwell, CJC; Maureen Robb, Linn Co.; Joe Garcia; Douglas Co.; Jay Scroggin, Mult Co.; Jeremiah Stromberg, DOC; Heidi Steward, DOC; Jon Hansen, DOC; Denise Sitler, DOC; Michelle Mooney, DOC; Dan Robbins, Morrow Co.; Jamie Hepner, Hood River Co.; Kristen Hanthorn, Clatsop Co.; Traci Hubler, Clatsop Co.; John Folliard, Gilliam/Sherman/Wheeler Co.; Fritz Osborne, Wasco Co.; Tanner Wark, Deschutes Co.; Suzi Gonzales, Lincoln Co.; Hank Harris, DOC; Cindy Booth, DOC; Mary Hunt, DOC; Lee Cummins, DOC; Kiki Parker-Rose, Klamath Co.; Steve Berger, Washington Co.; Joe Simich, Washington Co.; Karleigh Mollahan, Washington Co.

**Notes:** Jennie Wright, Washington Co.

Introductions around the table  
Review of last meeting minutes  
Motion to adopt – Jeff Wood  
Second  
Adopted

#### **Review of By Laws:** Steve Berger

Reviewed the Mission of the by-laws “To focus the attention on specific criminal justice problems and issues which are mutual concern”, Members are directors, or a person appointed by the Board of Commissioners or Sheriff. You must be a member to vote. You also must be in good standing, meaning that your financial dues must be paid.

Voting rights allow for a proxy to take place in your stead. The proxy needs to be in writing forwarded to Executive Committee as outlined by the by-laws. One vote/one county.

The Executive Team recommends non-directors are allowed to make a motion to allow a conversation to move forward. It would need to be seconded and moved, but only the members may vote. Steve asked for comments or concerns and none were voiced.

## Article 5

This Article identifies officers as two year terms. We are getting close to timeframes for elections. Elections will be held in June 2017, positions to take place in July. Be prepared to make nominations in May of 2017.

Corporate staffing allows for flexibility. It identifies the organization to hire an admin coordinator. The Executive Team not filling that role, they are picking up the pieces. The Executive Team determined the President is to supply the staff support for note taking.

It allows us to take a look at other employees or enter into contracts. The only contract we have entered into is L and E. as lobbyist. It also outlines that we can't borrow money.

President, vp, secretary, treasurer. To be one of these, you have to be a member, and to be a member you need to be a director. Reviewing of the bylaws, they imply it should be a member to lead the organization. There could be a vp, sec., treasurer, that couldn't vote, that was only there to represent their agency. If we were going to honor the bylaws, there needs to be a proxy in place.

Suzi is wondering if we might be more lenient. Be more flexible.

Each county gets one vote.

## **DOC Updates: Larry/Denise**

Denise:

STTL – Continuing to see fewer 30 days out the door, an average of just over 100 per month. Just over 151,000 prison bed days saved and about 3500 used in sanctions. Failure rate or return rate continues hover at about 5%. New quarterly report tells us denials, by county of people who are eligible to go out but it has been determined they are not going out and the reasons The four top reasons are housing, public safety concerns, expressed non-compliance, and medical or mental health needs.

The first quarter numbers showed that 34 eligible but denied (76% – housing, 26% – public safety)

They are working on developing a dashboard they will put up on CJC's website that will allow counties to look up there STTL performance.

Tracy Kauffman new TL coordinator is working/testing to set up NCIS so PO's will be able to enter sanctions. It will make it easier for violations.

Statewide County Contact Standards – Lee Cummins sent an email regarding the County Contact Standards and the fact that some of them haven't been updated since 2003. Please review and if they need to be updated, let Lee know. This is a good opportunity to take a look whether you think it has changed or not.

Budget Report: Denise

Budget building for 17-19 – Last week they pulled the data from the snapshots for the Community Corrections population and they did make a slight this biennium. If an offender has a Proxy as their primary risk tool, we are using that score as well. In the past they have just calculated what a PSC score was for that offender. Those numbers have been forwarded off to the budget office. Over the next year they hope to embark on some training on when they do the Proxy, when you change from the Proxy to the PSC.

In 2018 it will be time to do a cost study again.

2018 Cost Study Timeline....Handout

Start in September for time study. The State is looking for a cross section of folks. Line staff need to understand, buy in, and help with the time study. Not just directors. Need to educate staff on that. Look to make sure you get all the little items like drive time...

It is anticipated there will be a more in-depth discussion in July OACCD who we envision, look at the impacts of this. It impacts funding for 6 years.

There are two components - time study and cost study. Is there any historical information we can look at so we can see the processes people went through. Denise will bring the data collected in 2006 to the July meeting to review.

Scott Taylor spoke regarding the differences in the time studies. Who do you want to have in these work groups.

The State has been working on the STTL Rule over the last few months. This brought up to mind that many of the Administrative Rules haven't been reviewed in quite a long time. They would like to put together a workgroup to look at these rules. She will be emailing looking for volunteers, just read through rules and make recommendations. They would like a cross selection of staff.

Jeff Hanson: - Handout- PPT Presentation

Spoke on the Surviving the Trenches training.

Shows we are higher than the national average as far as stress goes.

We are also higher in the national average on the primary traumatic events.

Oregon is a little higher than the national on being exposed to traumatic material.

Jeff asked where the counties want to go with this. Should there be a workgroup put together to set up teams to go to the more rural counties.

Kirsten Lewis does train the trainer training. Her recommendation is move PO's around every 5 years. E

Mult. Co. says they are now looking at engaging the family more.

Steve – Informal poll – Has Peer group set up. 2 counties have CC specific peer support 1 shares with LE Peer group. Maybe a next step would be to identify as an Association a review of the programs and what are the core components and what is working well. Possibly the counties that have a process in place could to come and spend time with the other counties regarding looking at the programs that are operational, looking at the next steps.

A first step might be to invite Barb (?) to speak for an hour or two on lessons learned. Steve will bring this forward to the Exec Team and start taking a look at the next steps, i.e. looking at programs that are currently up and operational, maybe do an internal review of who is doing what.

Steve – Thanks to Jeff for putting this training together and making arrangements

Jeff Hansen - Inter Rater Reliability for LSCMI – 350 or 400. Scheduled to end on Friday. Hoping to get to the 500 they had last year. With the LSCMI we are hoping to reach the 90%.

MI Training for CC – Train the Trainer – People already identified for this. These people will be available for training in the fall. This training is specifically designed for PO's

Counties that are part of the FSAP pilot, are aware there will be a Moving On training to train several facilitators. They have room for 48 participants – send out email if you have people who you would like to attend training. It is a five day training and it will be in Salem.

#### **Maintenance Training: Patty Cress**

Exec team asked the regional reps to go back to their regions to have detailed discussions on identifying training information to forward to DPSST.

Maureen, Jake, Dale -

NW Directors – Agree should be minimum training standards moving forward. Areas of importance are mainly Use of Force, Ethics, Case Management. The other recommendation was that they would follow other standards i.e., police officers are required to receive 84 hours of training in a 3 year period. They could either go annual or every two years.

Southern region – The discussion centered around smaller counties. Looking at what is real and practical that they could have some regional trainers to host locally. Still looking at what the time element would look like. If we do this, the majority of trainings would be local for them. They think overall the concept is great.

East region – similar look for training as southern region – Looking at the same type of training, Use of Force, Best Practices, Case Management. Not being a centralized training mandated at the academy, but let the departments train on the needs of their individual departments.

Need to identify a training position that represents with DPSST. Maureen is interested in that position. She will take to DPSST to have a dialogue then bring back and set up a team. Dale volunteered to

appoint someone from his office to participate. DPSST said that if we were interested they would schedule another meeting.

**Parole Board Updates: Patty Cress**

Still board of four – the Governor's office is holding off on appointing another member. They are hoping for a larger pool until September. The current members are Michael Lou, Christine Herman, Cid Thompson, Patty Cress. They have very diverse backgrounds. There is also an opening for Morrissey hearings officer. Position posted 175 applicants.

The Board is making an effort to learn more about Community Corrections. They have reached out to a few counties for field trips to expose Board members to the great things CC's are doing. They have workgroup looking at consistency in victim responses and collection of restitution. A concern is the consistency in how victims are treated when they reach out to PO's around the state. Brenda Carney requested the following information be brought forward. DOC is considering giving evaluators' access to OMS. Question: If an evaluator is doing an evaluation in your county is there a willingness to allow an evaluator access to a computer to complete the LSCMI. Steve said that the counties support this, but they will need CIJS clearance.

SO notification system – Board has entered into contract with Elana Valduzy, Integrated Clinical and Correctional Services NW, Innovative Counseling Enterprises, Pamela Buchanan and Sunset Psychological.

There was a conversation between Brenda and exec team regarding responsibility of the evaluations in certain circumstances. The Parole Board has bulk of the responsibility for completing SO classifications. Individuals on probation are the responsibility of the Local Supervisory Authority. The question came up about those placed on Bench probation that are required to register. Brenda sent a memo to the Exec Team basically she went back to the DOJ for their advice on their interpretation of the law and basically it is the responsibility of the Local Supervisory Authority.

Since 01/01/14, first time sex offenders sentenced to bench probation or straight jail time need to be identified and registered.

Steve clarified that in reviewing the wording of the Local Supervisory Authority it does appear that they are responsible for ensuring the registration of the sex offenders. The challenge is at the local level on how we communicate with the Court on how we identify these cases and how we get this information. It was hoped that there would be a report from the State Police Sex Offender Registration Unit, but that is not the case. It does fall under the Supervisory Authority's responsibility so the association will need to take a look and get added to the list of the time study and the workload that folds into other populations that are not captured but are our responsibilities.

There was a question asked about sex offenders moving into our state. Patty stated that any sex offender moving into the state falls under the responsibility of the Board.

Multnomah going slower, they would like to put it on the Legislative conversation, if it was inadvertent or a mistake on how we even know about these people they would like to have instruction to the court that they have to notify CC or change the ORS 163a.105

Board met with the state police and they want the Board to primarily focus on those determined as Tier 3 (the previously deemed predatory). They are concerned about those that they previously had on their website. Do they keep them on their website, do they take them off? Under the new law, any Tier 3 SO will be on the website.

Brenda was able to pull a report of those released since 2014 that score 6 or higher and aren't classified. If an offender has a score of 6 or higher, and were released before 2014, and you think they will be Tier 3 and have assessments completed, send the names to Jamie Ferguson or Brenda Carney and they will try to prioritize.

Steve mentioned that he sent out an email outlining that the DOJ believes it falls to the Local Supervisory Authority and is providing notice. Until we receive direction otherwise, or a legal interpretation take a look at what that population would look like and start working with your local courts.

It is Steve's understanding is that each office has access to sex offender registration database. It is a cumbersome process but the process would be to run that list and match it to your list.

Possibly have the courts make it part of the conditions to report to PP to coordinate registration.

Steve to reach out to the state, and present the issue and ask them to forward the information through their distribution list to let their local trial court administrators know of our concerns on behalf of the association.

The Board is trying to figure how which counties are using Notice of Rights when offenders are using GPS, when not a sanction. If counties are using it as a supervision tool they probably aren't using a Notice of Rights.

**Carey Guides/BITS:** Jeff Hansen – See powerpoint handout

Several counties have partnered together using Measure 57 money to gain access to the Carey Guides and the Bits.

Carey Guides – designed to help case managers to pull certain tools and use those tools to manage clients. They aren't treatment, they are adjuncts to treatment.

Joe Garcia has been looking for way to change the culture of the supervising. Brought in trainers, and purchased the electronic guides. They were \$650 per license. You can track the usage and it is good for doing case audits, and also an easy way to look at the case plan. The BITS were prohibitive but \$50,000 per site, called Mark got 1000 licenses for this amount. A grant was secured for this amount. So now, the cost for BITS is free, covered by the grant. The guides are currently at \$450.

**OACCD Dues: Ted - Handout**

OACCD needs to make budget adjustment. The budget hasn't been adjusted since 2002.

Recommend:

Current – \$50,000 recommend \$90,000 with \$200 per month dues

There was a discussion on how to increase the final budget. One option was to eliminate the \$200 dues, and then pay per workload share per county. Larger counties pay a bigger increase, smaller counties not so much. Other options were discussed.

Curt – motion made for dues paid on percent of state funding but the counties that have a minimum have to pay a minimum amount – failed, no consensus

Proposals – Establishing possible minimum base and a percentage for those above the minimum grants.

05/12

**Jefferson County Hispanic Treatment - Kurt – Handout**

Best Care - Only culturally specific program that is CARF certified in the nation – It's like "Walking into your grandmother's house".

Groups – A & D, criminal thinking, anger management, violence prevention, activities (football/soccer/basketball), group therapy, AA

Over 18, male, speak Spanish, able to pay or not, ASAM assessment needs to be completed, accepts referrals from all over the state.

12 – 15 beds Average stay is 14 weeks, up to 3-6 months

Referral – waiting list, use the same process as other programs, if they are homeless or IV drug users move to the top of the list.

Kurt – The program is a top notch program. The program is next to grade school and has never had any issues.

**CJC Updates – Ross**

JRI intake reduction goals (presentation attached)

When looking at the data ideally the data needed would be gathered good reporting data, what's referred to the DA's, what's charged as felonies, what's convicted, and the types of sentences. Currently working with Multnomah and Washington county DA's offices to get more data, and they will continue to present on this and as more counties feel more comfortable with this, more DA's offices will contribute more data.

The Judges have concerns because with 3194 we are asking them to use a downward departure, but their concern is that then Community Corrections will utilize the earned discharge. Because of this some Judges are increasing the probation time. A concern is that it will increase the probation population and effect our funding.

**Center for Advancing Correctional Excellence – Risk vs Need vs Responsibility – Amy Murphy**  
(presentation attached)

Each county data once the recidivism and program capacity data is accurate.

Steve noted that no drafts go out in print or electronic format until we make sure there is a final product that has a full consensus agreement on format and data integrity.

Steve recommends at this point that the Executive Committee continue to work with George Mason, and they will confirm the recidivism definition.

#### **DOC Programs – Heidi**

Programs that the department contracts for or that they actually have staff delivering the services and they are investing money into these programs. These are the programs that they use to target criminogenic risk factors or that they use to prepare inmates into the community. There are educational programs, vocational training programs, treatment programs, behavioral change programs and skills based transition programs.

Education Programs – Delivering some college level courses enough to acquire an Associate's Degree. One program is College Inside Out is where there are partnerships with colleges and universities where they take one of their classes in bring it into the prison. Students and inmates attend the same course. This program gets students excited about taking courses. Chemeketa Community College has an Associate's Degree program at OSP and SCI now, and in the future will enter OSCI. Blue Mountain Community College offers a similar program at EOCI.

Vocational Programming – Programs include automotive technology, cosmetology, optical, building, and welding. Many of these programs are self-funding. The money earned goes back into the program.

There are apprenticeship programs. These are geared toward our longer term inmates. There are shorter training programs geared toward inmates with shorter terms.

Treatment Programs – There are four residential inpatient programs and four outpatient programs. They serve a total of about 1,230 inmates every year.

A new program located at Snake River is called The Challenge Program for the intensive management unit. They are contracting with Pathfinders to deliver that. They have just completed the first round and they are looking at data to see how impactful that was.



Road to Success Re-entry program – This is where they do a lot of reach in's working with the PO's and it vital to the program. The components of this program are budget, finance, employment, family, and housing.

Next meeting in July – Between now and July the regional representatives need to go back and have some conversations locally around the budget. The two issues we need to concentrate on are:

1. What's the amount we would assess for ourselves
2. Method of Formula